

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/756,125	01/09/2001	Tadamitsu Kishimoto	053466/0296	6506	
22428 75	590 01/02/2004		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AND LARDNER			EWOLDT, GERALD R		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		
			DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/756,1	25	KISHIMOTO ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
			oldt, Ph.D.	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA mailtain may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no excation. lays, a reply within the statory period will apply and were the apply and well by statute. Cause the apply.	vent, however, may a reply be ti tutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron plication to become ARANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 June 2003 and 01 October 2003</u> .							
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	•					
5) 6) 7)	4) Claim(s) 9,11-14 and 16-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9,11-14 and 16-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/817,084. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachment	(s)							
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's amendments and remarks, filed 6/13/03 and 10/01/03, are acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 9, 11-14, and 16-18 are being acted upon.
- 3. In view of Applicant's amendments filed 6/13/03 and 10/01/03, all previous rejections have been withdrawn.
- The specification is objected to for the following reason. The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to WO 92/19759 is improper. First, the specification fails to disclose that WO 92/19759 was incorporated by reference. As set forth in MPEP 608.01(p), "Mere reference to another application, patent, or publication is not an incorporation of anything therein into the application containing such reference for the purpose of the disclosure required by 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. In re de Seversky, 474 F.2d 671, 177 USPQ 144 (CCPA 1973)." Second, even if the document were properly incorporated by reference, the actual citation to the reference "should include an identification of the referenced patent, application, or publication. Particular attention should be directed to specific portions of the referenced document where the subject matter being incorporated may be found". In the instant case, WO 92/19759 comprises a 200+ page Japanese language document of which no such specific portions have been cited. Third, note that even if specific portions of the document were cited, a certified translation of the document would be required.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 5. The following are new grounds of rejection necessitated by Applicant's amendment.
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 9, 11-14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the laboratory designation "humanized PM-1 antibody" is vague and indefinite as it is unclear precisely which antibody is encompassed by the claims. Laboratory designations cannot be held constant outside

of the laboratory in which they are used, accordingly, the antibody of the instant invention is preferably identified by ATCC Accession Number or deposit number.

8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

9. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as the specification does not contain a written description of the claimed invention, in that the disclosure does not reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s) had possession of the claimed invention at the time the application was filed. This is a new matter rejection.

The specification and the claims as originally filed do not provide support for the invention as now claimed, specifically: the humanized PM-1 antibody comprising the sequences of SEQ ID NOS:2-16 as set forth in the claim.

Applicant is advised that, as the amendment to the specification is improper, as set forth in Section 4 above, the new claim is also improper and thus, comprises the introduction of new matter into a claim.

- 10. No claim is allowed.
- 11. Applicant is advised that should the invention of the previous claims be reintroduced the reintroduction of the previous rejections might be required. However, said reintroductions would be considered a new issue After Final.
- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee

pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (703) 308-9805 The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:30 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3973.

Please Note: inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should not be directed to the Examiner but rather should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 Customer Service Center at (703) 308-0198.

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Technology Center 1600

G.R. EWOLDT, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER